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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=298 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$
Disorder in main residue
$R$ factor $=0.067$
$w R$ factor $=0.195$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=14.2$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
(C) 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

# 2-(3-Carboxymethyl-4-nitrophenyl)propionic acid 

The molecules of the title dicarboxylic acid, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$, are linked by two pairs of hydrogen bonds into a linear chain structure; the nitro group distorts the bond dimensions of the aromatic ring.

## Comment

Our studies have been directed toward the synthesis of metal salts of benzene-1,3-dicarboxylic acids; such acids are rarely studied in contrast to, for example, the 1,2- and 1,4-dicarboxylic acids. 3-Carboxyphenoxyacetic acid crystallizes as a monohydrate whose water molecules link the carboxyl groups into a hydrogen-bonded network motif ( Gu et al., 2004). Benzene-1,3-di(oxyacetic acid) has been characterized as its manganese (Liu et al., 2004) and nickel (Gao, Liu, Huo et al., 2004) derivatives; the dianionic group has also been isolated as a mixed sodium/chromium salt (Gao, Liu, Dong et al., 2004). The title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), is a substituted benzene-1,3diacetic acid; the nitro group is twisted by $22.9(2)^{\circ}$ with respect to the aromatic ring but it appears to distort the distances and angles of the C atoms ortho and para to it [C5$\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 9=118.0(3)^{\circ}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7=116.2(3)^{\circ}\right]$. Adjacent molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds into a linear chain structure (Fig. 2).

(I)

The energy of the geometry-optimized structure was calculated to be $146.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the X-ray structure; however, the theoretical structure shows no significant distortion of the aromatic ring. Atom C 4 is more positive than the adjacent methylene C atom, whereas atom C 6 is more negative than the adjacent methine C atom. Atoms C 4 and C 6 are both more positive than their adjacent neighbors in the crystal structure.

## Experimental

The dicarboxylic acid was synthesized according to a literature procedure (Peng \& Chen, 2001) by replacing the chlorine in 2,4dichloronitrobenzene with the potassium salt of diethyl methylmalonate. Potassium tert-butylate was used to catalyse the reaction. Crystals were obtained when the hydrolysed mixture was set aside. Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C $52.13, \mathrm{H} 4.34, \mathrm{~N}$ $5.53 \%$; found: C $52.38, \mathrm{H} 4.21, \mathrm{~N} 5.49 \%$. For the geometry-optim-
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ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I); displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. The minor component of the disordered methyl group is not shown.


Figure 2
POV-Ray (Cason, 2002)/ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of the hydrogenbonded chain in (I).
ization calculations with HyperChem (Hypercube, 2000), the starting structure was taken from the crystal structure, and this was optimized at the PM3 level.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$
$M_{r}=253.21$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=7.468$ (3) $\AA$
$b=8.550(4) \AA$
$c=9.525(5) \AA$
$\alpha=104.03$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\beta=91.42(5)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=94.33(3)^{\circ}$
$V=587.8(5) \AA^{3}$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.695, T_{\text {max }}=0.971$
4881 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.067$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.195$
$S=1.04$
2347 reflections
165 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

## $Z=2$

$D_{x}=1.431 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 5376 reflections
$\theta=3.4-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=298$ (2) K
Block, yellow
$0.42 \times 0.31 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$

2347 independent reflections
1680 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.023$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.2^{\circ}$
$h=-9 \rightarrow 8$
$k=-10 \rightarrow 10$
$l=-11 \rightarrow 11$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0907 P)^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+0.3164 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.47 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.17 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| O1-C1 | 1.260 (4) | C2-C4 | 1.523 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O2-C1 | 1.235 (4) | C4-C5 | 1.385 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 11$ | 1.304 (3) | C4-C9 | 1.395 (4) |
| O4-C11 | 1.218 (3) | C5-C6 | 1.392 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 1.213 (3) | C6-C7 | 1.389 (4) |
| O6-N1 | 1.222 (3) | C6-C10 | 1.510 (4) |
| N1-C7 | 1.475 (4) | C7-C8 | 1.374 (4) |
| C1-C2 | 1.514 (5) | C8-C9 | 1.371 (4) |
| C2-C3 | 1.465 (7) | C10-C11 | 1.503 (4) |
| O5-N1-O6 | 122.9 (3) | C5-C6-C7 | 116.2 (3) |
| O5-N1-C7 | 119.3 (3) | C5-C6-C10 | 119.1 (3) |
| O6-N1-C7 | 117.8 (3) | C7-C6-C10 | 124.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 123.2 (3) | C6-C7-C8 | 122.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 115.3 (3) | C6-C7-N1 | 121.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 121.4 (3) | C8-C7-N1 | 116.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 109.6 (4) | C7-C8-C9 | 120.0 (3) |
| C1-C2-C4 | 113.3 (3) | C4-C9-C8 | 120.4 (3) |
| C3-C2-C4 | 113.0 (3) | O3-C11-C10 | 113.5 (2) |
| C2-C4-C5 | 119.8 (3) | C6-C10-C11 | 112.5 (2) |
| C2-C4-C9 | 122.2 (3) | O3-C11-O4 | 123.3 (3) |
| C5-C4-C9 | 118.0 (3) | O4-C11-C10 | 123.2 (2) |
| C4-C5-C6 | 123.1 (3) |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.82 | 1.82 | $2.641(4)$ | 173 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{1}$ | 0.82 | 1.84 | $2.658(3)$ | 176 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z$; (ii) $-x, 2-y, 2-z$.

The H atoms were placed in calculated positions [aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.93 \AA$, methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97 \AA$ and methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98 \AA$, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2$ or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}) ; \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.82 \AA$, with $\left.1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})\right]$. The acid H atoms were positioned geometrically by allowing the OH units to rotate so as to fit the electron density. The crystal was measured to $\theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ}$, but as it did not diffract at high angle, only the reflections up to $26.2^{\circ}$ were used in the refinements.

Data collection: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: RAPID-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) and POV-Ray (Cason, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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